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ABSTRACT

When we have a group of papers and when we fix the present time we can determine the unique number h being the number of papers that received h or more citations while the other papers received a number of citations which is not larger than h.

In this paper we determine the time dependence of the h-index. This is important to describe the expected career evolution of a scientist’s work or of a journal’s production in a fixed year. We use the earlier established cumulative nth citation distribution. We show that

\[ h = \left( (1 - a^T)^{\alpha - 1} T \right)^{1/\alpha} \]
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where $a$ is the aging rate, $\alpha$ is the exponent of Lotka’s law of the system and $T$ is the total number of papers in the group. For $t = +\infty$ we refind the steady state (static) formula $h = T^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}$ which we proved in a previous paper.

Functional properties of the above formula are proved. Amongst several results we show (for $\alpha$, $a$, $T$ fixed) that $h$ is a concavely increasing function of time, asymptotically bounded by $\frac{1}{T^\alpha}$.

I. Introduction

Let us have any “group” of papers: this can be the list of publications of a scientist, the set of articles in a year of publication in a journal, a bibliography and so on. The h-index is this number such that we have exactly $h$ papers with $h$ or more citations while the other papers have not more than $h$ citations. This h-index has been introduced in Hirsch (2005) (see also Ball (2005)). Introduced in physics, the h-index was well-received by informetricians – see Bornmann and Daniel (2005) and Braun, Glänzel and Schubert (2005). The h-index has the following advantages (see Hirsch (2005), Braun, Glänzel and Schubert (2005)):

- It is a single number incorporating both publication and citation scores and hence has an advantage over these single measures and over measures such as “number of significant papers” (which is arbitrary (which is not so for the h-index)) or “number of citations to each of the q most cited papers” (which again is not a single number).

- It is robust in the sense that it is insensitive to an accidental set of uncited (or lowly cited) papers and also to one or several outstandingly highly cited papers.

- It combines the features quantity (number of papers) with quality (or visibility) in the sense of citation rates.

The present author shares the opinion of Braun, Glänzel and Schubert that the h-index will be the topic of many informetrics articles in the (near) future and hence, it deserves a concise mathematical study. In Egghe and Rousseau (2006) we already showed that any system has a unique h-index (there the h-index notion was extended to general information production processes, where sources (e.g. articles) produce items (e.g. citations)). Further we showed
that, when we have a Lotkaian system, i.e. a system such that the law of Lotka is valid (see Egghe and Rousseau (1990) or Egghe (2005))

\[ f(j) = \frac{C}{j^\alpha} \]  

(1)

\[ C > 0, \ \alpha > 1, \ \ j^1 > 1, \ \ \text{and where we have T sources (e.g. articles) in total, the h-index equals} \]

\[ h = T^\frac{1}{\alpha} \]  

(2)

Properties of this functional relation are also proved in Egghe and Rousseau (2006).

It is evident that it is an interesting aspect to know the evolution of the h-index over time for a set of papers. This is studied in this paper. In Egghe and Rao (2001) we determined the cumulative \( n^{th} \) citation distribution, i.e. the cumulative distribution \( \Gamma_a(t) \) of the times \( t \) at which the papers (in such a general set of papers) receive their \( n^{th} \) citation, in other words: the cumulative fraction of papers (amongst the ever cited papers) that have received \( n \) citations at time \( t \). The finding of this paper is that such a distribution can be used to calculate, for every \( t \), the (hence \( t \)-dependent) h-index.

In the next section we will elaborate the model, where we will prove that the time dependent h-index equals

\[ h = \left( (1- a^t)^{a-1} T^\frac{1}{\alpha} \right) \]  

(3)

where \( T \) denotes the total number of ever cited articles, \( \alpha \) is Lotka’s exponent (the system articles-citations supposed to be Lotkaian) and where \( a \) is the aging rate of the citations.

We then prove the following properties of (3):

- The h-index as function of \( t \) (\( a, \ \alpha, \ \text{T constant} \)) is a concavely increasing function with horizontal asymptote at height \( T^\frac{1}{\alpha} \). Hence for \( t \) going to 1¥, we refine the time independent result (2) proved in Egghe and Rousseau (2006).
The h-index as function of $\alpha$ (a, T, t constant) and $a$ ($\alpha$, T, t constant) is decreasing and (of course) increasing in T (a, $\alpha$, t constant).

II. The h-index as function of time t

Let us recall a result, proved in Egghe and Rao (2001) on the cumulative $n^{th}$ citation distribution, i.e. the cumulative distribution over time at which a paper will receive its $n^{th}$ citation ($n = 1, 2, 3,...$). Here the fractions are calculated with respect to the population of eventually cited papers. We have the following result.

Theorem II.1 Egghe and Rao (2001))

(i) Let $C(t)$ denote the cumulative citation distribution, i.e. the cumulative distribution of the fractions of citations at time $t$ (i.e. time $t$ after publication). Then the cumulative $n^{th}$ citation distribution $\Gamma_n(t)$ (with respect to all papers that are ever cited) is equal to

$$\Gamma_n(t) = \frac{2\alpha C(t) \alpha^{n-1}}{n}$$

(4)

where $\alpha > 1$ denotes Lotka’s exponent in the law of Lotka (1) that describes the article-citations relationship.

(ii) In case of exponential aging, with aging rate $a$, $0 < a < 1$, we have that $C(t) = 1 - a^t$ and hence

$$\Gamma_n(t) = \frac{2\alpha - a \alpha^{n-1}}{n}$$

(5)

From this, the t-dependent h-index can be determined.
Theorem II.2:
The t-dependent h-index equals

\[ h = h(t, \alpha, T) = \left( C(t)^{\alpha-1} T \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \]  

(6)

for \( t \geq 0 \) and where \( T \) denotes the total number of ever cited articles under study. In the special case of (ii) in the above theorem we have

\[ h = h(t, \alpha, T, a) = \left( (1- a^t)^{\alpha-1} T \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \]  

(7)

Proof:
For every \( n \), \( \Gamma_n(t) \) is the fraction (with respect to the ever cited papers) of articles which have \( n \) or more citations at time \( t \). The definition of the h-index gives that \( h = n \) for this \( n \) such that

\[ \Gamma_n(t) = n. \]  

(8)

Indeed, \( \Gamma_n(t) \) is the number of papers with \( n \) citations at time \( t \) or before, hence the number of papers with \( n \) or more citations (and automatically the other papers have less than \( n \) citations: this is, in the continuous setting, equal to “no more than \( n \) citations”). So equation (8) is the defining relation for the (t-dependent) h-index: \( h = n \). Using (4) we hence have

\[ \Gamma_n(t) \]  

or

\[ h = \left( C(t)^{\alpha-1} T \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \]

In case \( C(t) = 1- a^t \) (exponential aging rate) we evidently have (7).
The next corollary was proved in Egghe and Rousseau (2006) (see also Glänzel (2006) for an approximation in the discrete case), hence it also belongs to the present time-dependent theory as a limiting case.

**Corollary II.3:**
If we let $t \to \infty$ we have, in all cases:

$$h = T^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

Result (9) was proved in Egghe and Rousseau (2006) without supposing any aging distribution: there we only used that the distribution of papers with a certain number of citations follows Lotka’s law with exponent $\alpha > 1$. In this model, the minimum number of citations is 1, hence we were only dealing with papers that are eventually cited. This is also the case in the present model. The cumulative distribution of the time of citations is given by $C(t)$. Supposing that $\lim_{t \to \infty} C(t) = 1$ automatically implies that we only consider papers that are, eventually, cited. So it is logical that the result (9) is found here again. It is, nevertheless, remarkable that the static (time-independent) Lotka result is found here as a limiting result of our dynamic (time-dependent) theory for $t \to \infty$.

We have the following further corollaries of Theorem II.2.

**Corollary II.4:**
The function $t \to h(t)$ in Theorem II.2 in the variable $t$ (while all the other variables are kept constant) is a concavely strictly increasing function that ranges between 0 and $T^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}$ (see Fig. 1).
Proof:
It is readily seen that \( h'(t) > 0 \) and \( h''(t) < 0 \) for all \( t \geq 0 \). Furthermore \( h'(0) = +\infty \) and \( \lim_{t \to \infty} h'(t) = 0 \). Finally \( h(0) = 0 \) and \( \lim_{t \to \infty} h(t) = \frac{1}{T} \), the highest value.

The result in Corollary II.4 is logical but shows that, when time passes, it becomes more and more difficult to increase the h-index of the set of papers under study, e.g. for a set of journal articles in a certain year or for a scientist’s publications. Note that in this last case we neglect the fact that publications have different publication times. We leave it as an open problem to extend this theory to this case but the present theory is a good approximation for that, certainly for high \( t \) as discussed above.

**Corollary II.5:**

(i) For \( a, T, t \) fixed we have that \( h \) is a decreasing function of \( \alpha \).

(ii) For \( \alpha, T, t \) fixed we have that \( h \) is a decreasing function of \( a \).

(iii) For \( \alpha, a, t \) fixed we (evidently) have that \( h \) is an increasing function of \( T \).

**Proof:**
This follows readily by calculating the corresponding derivatives.
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