

Drug Insight: choosing a drug treatment strategy for women with osteoporosis - an evidence-based clinical perspective

Piet P Geusens*, Christian H Roux, David M Reid, Willem F Lems, Silvano Adami, Jonathan D Adachi, Philip N Sambrook, Kenneth G Saag, Nancy E Lane and Marc C Hochberg

SUMMARY

Many randomized controlled clinical trials (RCTs) have investigated drug treatment for women at high risk of fracture, with a reduction in fracture risk as their endpoint. Meanwhile, progress has been made in identifying women at the highest risk of fractures. The most important clinical determinant contributing to the clinical decision about initiating and choosing drug therapy for fracture prevention is a woman's fracture risk which, in RCTs, was determined from menopausal state, age, bone mineral density, fracture history, fall risks and glucocorticoid use. All RCTs included measures for adequate calcium and vitamin D intake. Women with secondary osteoporosis were excluded, except in studies of glucocorticoid use. A second determinant is the evidence for fracture prevention in terms of spectrum (vertebral, nonvertebral and/or hip fractures), size and speed of effect. However, in the absence of head-to-head RCTs with fractures as the endpoint, perceived differences in fracture-reducing effects of anti-osteoporotic agents could relate to the drugs themselves, the designs of the studies or the selection of patients. Only limited data are available on fracture prevention in glucocorticoid users. Other determinants include the potential extraskeletal benefits and safety concerns of the drug, patient preferences and reimbursement issues.

KEYWORDS

drug safety, drug treatment, fracture risk, glucocorticoid osteoporosis, postmenopausal osteoporosis

INTRODUCTION

During the past two decades, many randomized controlled clinical trials (RCTs) have investigated drug treatment to reduce fracture risk in women with postmenopausal osteoporosis, who are at high risk of fractures. The results of these RCTs guide therapy for postmenopausal osteoporosis and, to a lesser degree of evidence, for glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis (GIOP).^{1,2} Meanwhile, the process of evaluating women to identify those at highest risk of fractures has progressed, initially from the measurement of bone mineral density (BMD) alone to the development of algorithms that are based on an

integrated approach combining BMD, BMD-independent clinical fracture risks (age, personal and family fracture history, low body weight, smoking, excessive alcohol intake, rheumatoid arthritis and glucocorticoid use)^{3,4} and BMD-independent fall-related risk factors.⁵

The aim of this Review is to identify patient- and drug-related determinants that contribute to the clinical decision about choosing and initiating drug therapy for prevention of fractures.⁶ Cost-effectiveness is an issue of increasing interest but is not the focus of this article.⁷ References to RCTs published before 2006 are available in general reviews on postmenopausal osteoporosis and GIOP.^{1,8}

MECHANISMS OF ACTION OF FRACTURE-PREVENTION DRUGS

Fractures can be prevented by drugs that have different, and often opposite, effects on of bone remodeling.^{1,9-12} Antiresorptive drugs (bisphosphonates [etidronate, alendronate, risedronate, ibandronate, zoledronate], raloxifene, calcitonin and estrogens) decrease bone turnover. The recombinant human parathyroid hormone N-terminal fragment 1–34 (rhPTH [1–34], known as teriparatide) and the full-length form (rhPTH [1–84]), by contrast, increase bone turnover, but preferentially affect bone formation more than bone resorption. In between these two extremes is strontium ranelate, which stimulates bone formation and inhibits bone resorption in animal models. These drugs have a wide spectrum of effects in bone: from preserving to rebuilding bone architecture and from preserving to profoundly affecting its material, such as its mineralization (Table 1).

PATIENT CHARACTERISTICS

The most important clinical determinant regarding the initiation of osteoporosis treatment is a woman's fracture risk profile.¹³ All of the aforementioned drugs have been studied in postmenopausal women with a high risk of fractures on the basis of menopausal state, age, low BMD and/or presence of a morphometric vertebral fracture and, in some studies, other clinical risks. Algorithms for predicting vertebral and nonvertebral fractures are available.^{2,3,14} Women with a fracture after menopause need immediate attention for counseling about subsequent fracture risk, which is higher in the short-term than the long-term.^{15,16} Zoledronate is the only agent that has been studied in patients selected on the basis of a recent hip fracture, irrespective of BMD.¹¹ Some of the drugs mentioned above have been studied in women with GIOP.^{8,17-19}

Women with postmenopausal osteoporosis are advised to adopt nonpharmacological lifestyle interventions, including correcting calcium and vitamin D deficiencies (which was part of all RCTs),²⁰ optimizing protein intake, exercising, preventing falls, stopping smoking and moderating alcohol intake.² Women with postmenopausal osteoporosis need differential

diagnosis to identify factors that frequently contribute to secondary osteoporosis (which was an exclusion criterion in postmenopausal osteoporosis studies), many of which are treatable.²¹ These approaches are considered necessary but insufficient to maximally prevent fractures in women with postmenopausal osteoporosis or GIOP who are at high risk of fractures (Figure 1).^{1,2,22}

ANTIFRACTURE EFFECTS OF DRUGS

Patients with postmenopausal osteoporosis

The antifracture effects in patients with postmenopausal osteoporosis varied between drugs (Table 2). However, in the absence of head-to-head studies, differences in fracture reduction compared to placebo should not be compared directly and no inferences should be made regarding superiority of one efficacious treatment over another, as the antifracture studies differed in patient and study characteristics.⁶

In primary analyses, which give the highest level of evidence of fracture prevention in RCTs,⁶ all agents tested significantly decreased the risk of morphometric vertebral fractures. Alendronate, risedronate, zoledronate, strontium ranelate and teriparatide also reduced the risk of nonvertebral fractures, and alendronate, risedronate and zoledronate also reduced the risk of hip fractures. Post hoc analyses in high-risk subgroups indicated that the selective estrogen-receptor modulator (SERM) raloxifene and the bisphosphonate ibandronate prevented nonvertebral fractures^{23, 24} and that strontium ranelate prevented hip fractures.¹

The reported antifracture response varied between drugs and was typically around –40–60% for vertebral fractures with all drugs, –15–30% for those that reduced the risk of nonvertebral fractures and –15–60% for those that reduced hip fractures (Figure 2).¹

The speed of onset of antifracture effects also varied between drugs. Early effects on nonvertebral fractures have been reported within 6 months for risedronate,²⁵ 12 months for alendronate and strontium ranelate (in patients aged over 80 years)²⁶ and 18 months for teriparatide.

Postmenopausal osteoporosis in the elderly

Beyond postmenopausal osteoporosis, secondary analyses of data can indicate antifracture effects in the elderly. In patients aged over 80 years, strontium ranelate had an antifracture effect for vertebral, nonvertebral and clinical fractures, and for hip fractures in patients with low T-scores in the spine and hip.²⁶ The antifracture effect of alendronate did not wane at

the age of 80–85 years.²⁷ Risedronate reduced the risk of morphometric vertebral fractures in women aged 80 years and over.²⁸ Teriparatide decreased the risk of morphometric vertebral fractures in those older than 75 years.²⁹ Antifracture data are available in the elderly on zoledronate from the study of patients with a recent hip fracture, in which half of the cohort was older than 74 years.¹¹ In women aged 80–89 years with fall-related risk factors for hip fracture, but without documented BMD-evidence of osteoporosis, risedronate did not significantly reduce the risk of hip fracture.¹ In postmenopausal women with a T-score >-2.0 and no prevalent radiographic vertebral fracture, alendronate was not effective at reducing nonvertebral fracture risk.¹

Comparing the antifracture effects of different drugs in patients with postmenopausal osteoporosis

Head-to-head RCTs that directly compare different drugs and have antifracture effects as the primary endpoint could resolve some of the uncertainty regarding the differences between drugs, but are unlikely to be conducted for postmenopausal osteoporosis as such studies need enormous numbers of patients and would prove costly.¹

One way to overcome this sample size restriction is to estimate antifracture effects in systematic reviews or meta-analyses, which are considered the highest level of evidence-based medicine.^{6,30} However, the results of meta-analyses vary greatly as a function of the dose of treatment, duration of follow-up, selection of studied fractures and time of analysis.³⁰ Meta-analyses that include only patient groups with documented fracture risk³¹ give more precise point estimates for use in daily practice than meta-analyses that include patients without osteoporosis or without baseline BMD data.³²

Head-to-head randomized studies comparing the effect of alendronate and risedronate on surrogate markers of fracture risk (favoring alendronate on BMD and markers of bone turnover)^{33,34} and nonrandomized postmarketing antifracture studies using a large-scale pharmacy insurance database and a rigorous methodological approach (favoring risedronate on hip fracture risk)³⁵ have shown differences between drugs, but at a lower level of evidence-based medicine (level 2B and level 3, respectively).⁶ Clearly, there are differences between classes of drugs in terms of their mechanisms of action, but also between drugs of the same class, as shown for bisphosphonates, which differ in bone- and enzyme-affinity.³⁶ How strongly these pharmacological variations are related to clinical differences in antifracture effects and whether they can therefore be used in clinical decision-making remains unclear.

Glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis

At the least, all patients being started on glucocorticoid treatment should receive calcium and vitamin D prophylactically.³⁷ In GIOP (in starters or chronic users, at variable doses of glucocorticoid), bisphosphonates increase BMD and reduce morphometric vertebral fractures based on secondary endpoints, safety analyses, extension studies, and combination of study populations.^{8,17,18} Specifically, there is evidence that alendronate, etidronate and risedronate can prevent vertebral fractures, although etidronate has a lower grade of evidence than alendronate and risedronate and might not reduce fracture risk. Teriparatide was associated with increased BMD in women who were also taking estrogens.¹⁸

Head-to-head trials with antifracture data in post hoc or secondary endpoint analyses indicate that alendronate had greater effects on BMD and bone markers in patients with GIOP than did calcitriol^{8,17} and calcitonin⁸, without altering the incidence of vertebral fractures. In an interim analysis at 18 months, a significantly greater increase in BMD (in hip and spine) and a significant reduction in semi-quantitatively measured morphometric vertebral fractures were seen with teriparatide compared with alendronate.¹⁹

Combination and sequential treatment regimens

Combinations of antiresorptive drugs can induce a greater increase in BMD than each drug separately, but the relationship of this change in BMD to fracture risk is unknown.⁴⁸

Zoledronate reduced the risk of fractures in patients receiving SERMs, estrogens or calcitonin in a prespecified subgroup analysis.¹⁰ The rise in bone formation, resorption markers and BMD that is usually observed during treatment with either teriparatide or rhPTH (1–84) is blunted when rhPTH is combined with alendronate.^{48,49} It is recommended, therefore, that antiresorptives other than raloxifene are avoided during treatment with either teriparatide or rhPTH (1–84).

In patients who have previously been treated with antiresorptives, teriparatide and rhPTH (1–84) can increase BMD and the levels of bone-turnover markers in the serum, which varied according to the previously used antiresorptive drug.^{51,52} Teriparatide and rhPTH (1–84) are now recognized for the treatment of postmenopausal osteoporosis when incident fractures occur during adequate treatment with antiresorptives, and for the treatment of patients with postmenopausal osteoporosis who have intolerance or contraindications to bisphosphonates and SERMs. Teriparatide and rhPTH (1–84) treatment is continued for 18–24 months, and the antifracture effect persists after withdrawal of teriparatide.⁵³ The gain in BMD that is achieved by treating with teriparatide can be preserved by subsequent treatment with antiresorptives.⁵³ In this setting, a 50-month open-label follow-up study indicated ongoing nonvertebral fracture reduction.⁵³

EXTRASKELETAL BENEFITS OF DRUG TREATMENT

Extraskeletal benefits and risks also influence clinical decisions. For example, raloxifene reduced the risk of invasive breast cancer by 66% over 8 years.³⁸ This agent has recently been approved by the FDA for the prevention of estrogen-receptor-positive breast cancer in women at high risk, as well as in women with postmenopausal osteoporosis.

Estrogens attenuate severe climacteric symptoms, such as hot flashes.³⁹ However, estrogen treatment alone is generally not considered as a first-line treatment for osteoporosis, as the potential side effects (breast cancer, venous thromboembolisms and cardiovascular thrombotic events including stroke) are greater than the antifracture benefits, particularly in older women.³⁹

Zoledronate, administered within 90 days of a hip fracture and then yearly for up to three years, is the first antiresorptive drug that has been shown to be associated with a decrease in all-cause mortality, reducing the levels by 28% over 2 years.¹¹ The reason for the reduced mortality in this study is not clear.

SAFETY AND TOLERANCE OF DRUGS

Oral bisphosphonates are poorly absorbed and can cause esophageal irritation. Therefore, they are taken with water, on an empty stomach, without lying down and at least 30 min before eating (60 min for ibandronate). Patients with mild gastrointestinal intolerance to one type of bisphosphonate can add an H2 blocker or proton pump inhibitor to ameliorate gastrointestinal tolerance, or switch to other oral or intravenous bisphosphonates or to drugs of other classes. Osteonecrosis of the jaw (ONJ) has been associated with the use of bisphosphonates.⁴⁰ This unusual disorder has been most commonly reported in cancer patients who have been treated with high-dose regimens of intravenous pamidronate or zoledronate. The incidence is considered very low (<1/10,000 to <1/100,000) in patients taking oral bisphosphonates at the doses used to treat osteoporosis and most of these cases can be related to the length of administration of these bisphosphonates. Atypical fractures (such as subtrochanteric fractures) have been reported during long-term treatment with oral bisphosphonates given for osteoporosis. In one study, all reported patients had low, but still ongoing, bone formation (measured by tetracycline labeling) on bone histology.⁴¹ In cases of ONJ or atypical fractures, the consensus is that bisphosphonates should be withdrawn.⁴⁰ In dogs treated with bisphosphonates, a higher frequency of microcracks was found, but among postmenopausal osteoporotic women undergoing long-term bisphosphonate treatment, microcrack frequency in the iliac bone was low, despite a marked reduction in

bone turnover.⁴² Bisphosphonates should only be used when creatinine clearance is >30 ml/min, as they can be nephrotoxic, especially when used at high doses for an extended duration. Renal problems have been reported in patients treated with zoledronate, but almost exclusively in those patients treated for malignancies.⁴³ Adequate hydration of the patient at the time of infusion of the drugs, together with calcium and vitamin D supplements, is recommended to avoid hypocalcemia. Atrial fibrillation has been associated with the use of zoledronate in one study,¹⁰ but not after use in patients with Paget's disease of bone or after hip fractures,¹¹ and not with alendronate⁴⁴ or risedronate.⁴⁵

Raloxifene is contraindicated in patients who have had a venous thrombosis, and the treatment must be stopped in situations of prolonged decubitus. Raloxifene increases the risk of hot flushes and should not be given too soon after menopause has started.

In clinical trials, strontium ranelate caused a higher incidence of diarrhea during the first 3 months than did placebo. Postmarketing data indicate that very rarely drug rash with eosinophilia systemic symptoms and Stevens Johnson-Syndrome can occur as a result of treatment with strontium ranelate.⁴⁶ This treatment is also associated with an increased risk of thromboembolic events.¹ Strontium ranelate should be used with caution in patients who have had a thrombosis, and the treatment must be stopped in prolonged decubitus situations and in patients with skin reactions.

Teriparatide is associated with dizziness (in 9% of patients) and leg cramps (3%). A post-dose increase in serum calcium is found in 11% of patients and in 3% when retested. Routine calcium monitoring during therapy is not required for teriparatide, but is advocated for rhPTH (1-84) because of the higher frequency of hypercalcemia (28%). Teriparatide is associated with an increased risk of developing osteosarcoma during life-time treatment in rats.⁴⁷ However, no increased risk of cancer has been reported in clinical studies with teriparatide or in primate models. Teriparatide is contraindicated for treatment of Paget's disease of bone and in situations where there are, or has or have been, unexplained elevations of serum alkaline phosphatase, prior external beam or implant radiation involving the skeleton, bone metastases or a history of skeletal malignancies, metabolic bone diseases other than osteoporosis, or pre-existing hypercalcemia. It should only be used in adults with fully fused epiphyses.

ROUTE AND FREQUENCY OF ADMINISTRATION

In many chronic diseases, including osteoporosis, low adherence to drug regimens is a common problem.⁵⁴ One reason for this is that anti-osteoporosis drugs are prescribed for fracture prevention, and not for symptomatic relief of pain. Adherence and persistence for

bisphosphonates is low (40–60%), even when it is given weekly or monthly.⁵⁴ Below a threshold of 50% of persistence there is no antifracture effect.⁵⁴ It is unclear to what extent low compliance and persistence are related to the physician or to the patient.⁵⁵ In patients who do not comply with a weekly intake, monthly treatment with ibandronate (or intravenous infusion every 3 months) or yearly infusions of zoledronate should be considered.

AVAILABILITY AND REIMBURSEMENT ISSUES

In spite of the indications put forward by the European and US governmental authorities, the availability of drugs and reimbursement for their cost differ between countries and continents. For example, reimbursement for teriparatide varies between countries in the EU according to patient characteristics and incident fractures. Strontium ranelate is reimbursed in EU countries for the treatment of postmenopausal osteoporosis (in women older than 50 or only in women older than 80) but is not available currently in the US.

GENERIC DRUGS

On the basis of economic considerations, a number of generic forms of bisphosphonates are now available in the EU, based on small, single-dose, bioavailability studies, and will also be available in the US in early 2008. Differences in the disintegration/dissolution profiles of generic forms of alendronate suggest that bioavailability studies might not be adequate for the meaningful assessment of the safety and efficacy of generic drugs.⁵⁶

UNRESOLVED QUESTIONS AND FUTURE RESEARCH

Many patients who experience a clinical fracture do not have BMD-osteoporosis.⁴ The upcoming WHO case finding strategy includes clinical risk factors that predict the individual 5-year and 10-year absolute fracture risk independently of BMD.³ Further clinical research is needed to translate the results of RCTs into clinical practice, and thereby to fit drug treatment with individual absolute fracture risk and its pathophysiology.

Vertebral fractures are, independent of BMD, a risk for new fractures.³ Their presence is an indication to initiate drug therapy and, when occurring during antiresorptive treatment, for considering a switching to teriparatide or rhPTH (1–84). However, diagnosis of vertebral fractures is often overlooked as a sign of bone fragility, as many such fractures occur subclinically. Studies are needed to specify the indications for spinal X-rays or vertebral fracture assessment using dual X-ray absorptiometry to identify patients with vertebral fractures in a timely manner.⁵⁷

Studies are needed to refine fracture prediction by measuring other components of bone that contribute to its resistance to fracture (such as its microarchitecture, material

composition and level of remodeling),⁵⁸ by increasing our knowledge about genetic backgrounds⁵⁹ and by integrating approaches to extraskeletal factors, such as fall risks, that are frequently present in patients with a recent fracture.^{4,60}

Could the mechanisms of action be helpful in making a clinical choice between drugs? The limited vertebral antifracture data in the aforementioned head-to-head RCTs suggest that—at least for GIOP—stimulation of formerly suppressed bone formation by teriparatide is a more effective approach than suppression of bone turnover by alendronate.¹⁹ Such observations should stimulate further research to fine-tune drug therapy according to the pathophysiology that underlies fracture risk and the presence of clinical risk factors proposed by the WHO.⁵ The effects of teriparatide and other upcoming bone-forming agents⁶¹ open new horizons for bone repair in patients in whom bone microarchitecture is severely disturbed. The use of sequential treatment regimens that switch between currently available and upcoming antiresorptive⁶² and anabolic⁶¹ agents during lifetime treatment is therefore an attractive idea, but more data will be needed to substantiate such clinical pathways.

CONCLUSIONS

There is strong evidence in support of the initiation of effective osteoporosis medications in women that have either postmenopausal osteoporosis or GIOP and a high risk of fracture. The selection of the drugs can be based on answers to questions about patient characteristics (menopausal state, age, BMD, fracture history, risk of vertebral, nonvertebral and hip fracture, time of fracture (recent or old), GIOP, need for an extraskeletal benefit, previous nonpersistence or noncompliance, and preferences of the patient) and drug characteristics (mechanisms of action, antifracture effects, safety, and route and frequency of administration). However, more work is needed to link drug characteristics with the underlying causes of fracture risk in individual patients and to find new medications with both antifracture and extraskeletal effects so as to increase patients' acceptance and efficacy of therapy. The perspective of fracture prevention by sequential treatment that first restores the lost bone and then maintains the newly formed bone, combined with fall prevention strategies, provides a window of opportunity for further prevention of fractures.

References

1. Sambrook P and Cooper C (2006) Osteoporosis. *Lancet* **367**: 2010-2018
2. Geusens PP (2003) Review of guidelines for testing and treatment of osteoporosis. *Curr Osteoporos Rep.* **1**: 59-65
3. Kanis JA *et al.* (2005) Assessment of fracture risk. *Osteoporos Int* **16**: 581-589
4. Khosla S and Melton LJ 3rd (2007) Clinical practice. Osteopenia. *N Engl J Med* **22**: 2293-2300

5. Kelsey JL *et al.* (1992) Risk factors for fractures of the distal forearm and proximal humerus. The Study of Osteoporotic Fractures Research Group. *Am J Epidemiol* **135**: 477-489
6. Guyatt GH *et al.* (1994) Users' guides to the medical literature. II. How to use an article about therapy or prevention. B. What were the results and will they help me in caring for my patients? *JAMA* **271**: 59-63
7. Stevenson M *et al.* (2005) A systematic review and economic evaluation of alendronate, etidronate, risedronate, raloxifene and teriparatide for the prevention and treatment of postmenopausal osteoporosis. *Health Technol Assess* **9**
8. van Staa TP (2006) The pathogenesis, epidemiology and management of glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis. *Calcif Tissue Int* **79**: 129-137.
9. Riggs BL and Parfitt AM (2005) Drugs used to treat osteoporosis: the critical need for a uniform nomenclature based on their action on bone remodeling. *J Bone Miner Res* **20**: 177-184.
10. Black DM *et al.* (2007) HORIZON Pivotal Fracture Trial. Once-yearly zoledronic acid for treatment of postmenopausal osteoporosis. *N Engl J Med* **356**: 1809-1822.
11. Lyles KW *et al.* (2007) the HORIZON Recurrent Fracture Trial. Zoledronic Acid and Clinical Fractures and Mortality after Hip Fracture. *N Engl J Med* **357**: 1799-1809
12. Greenspan SL *et al.* (2007) Treatment of Osteoporosis with Parathyroid Hormone Study Group. Effect of recombinant human parathyroid hormone (1-84) on vertebral fracture and bone mineral density in postmenopausal women with osteoporosis: a randomized trial. *Ann Intern Med* **146**: 326-339
13. Seeman E and Eisman JA (2004) 7: Treatment of osteoporosis: why, whom, when and how to treat. The single most important consideration is the individual's absolute risk of fracture. *Med J Aust* **180**: 298-303
14. Roux C *et al.* (2007) Assessment of non-vertebral fracture risk in postmenopausal women. *Ann Rheum Dis* **66**: 931-935
15. van Helden S *et al.* (2006) Risk of new clinical fractures within 2 years following a fracture. *Osteoporos Int* **17**: 348-354
16. Center JR S *et al.* (2007) Risk of subsequent fracture after low-trauma fracture in men and women. *JAMA* **297**: 387-394
17. de Nijs RN S *et al.* (2006) STOP Investigators. Alendronate or alfacalcidol in glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis. *N Engl J Med* **355**:675-684
18. Lane NE *et al.* (1998) Parathyroid hormone treatment can reverse corticosteroid-induced osteoporosis. Results of a randomized controlled clinical trial. *J Clin Invest* **102**: 1627-1633
19. Saag KG *et al.* (2007) Teriparatide or Alendronate in Glucocorticoid-Induced Osteoporosis. *N Engl J Med* **357**: 2028-2039
20. Bischoff-Ferrari HA (2007) How to select the doses of vitamin D in the management of osteoporosis. *Osteoporos Int* **18**: 401-407
21. Tannenbaum C *et al.* (2002) Yield of laboratory testing to identify secondary contributors to osteoporosis in otherwise healthy women. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* **87**: 4431-4437
22. US Department of Health and Human Services. *Bone Health and Osteoporosis: A Report of the Surgeon General*. Rockville, MD: US Dept of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, Office of the Surgeon General, 2004

23. Delmas PD *et al.* (2003) Severity of prevalent vertebral fractures and the risk of subsequent vertebral and nonvertebral fractures: results from the MORE trial. *Bone* **33**: 522-532
24. Delmas PD *et al.* (2004) Daily and intermittent oral ibandronate normalize bone turnover and provide significant reduction in vertebral fracture risk: results from the BONE study. *Osteoporos Int* **15**: 792-798
25. Roux C *et al.* (2004) Efficacy of risedronate on clinical vertebral fractures within six months. *Curr Med Res Opin* **20**: 433-439
26. Seeman E *et al.* (2006) Strontium ranelate reduces the risk of vertebral and nonvertebral fractures in women eighty years of age and older. *J Bone Miner Res* **21**: 1113-1120
27. Hochberg MC *et al.* (2005) Effect of alendronate on the age-specific incidence of symptomatic osteoporotic fractures. *J Bone Miner Res* **20**: 971-976
28. Boonen S *et al.* (2004) Safety and efficacy of risedronate in reducing fracture risk in osteoporotic women aged 80 and older: implications for the use of antiresorptive agents in the old and oldest old. *J Am Geriatr Soc* **52**: 1832-1839
29. Marcus R *et al.* (2003) The skeletal response to teriparatide is largely independent of age, initial bone mineral density, and prevalent vertebral fractures in postmenopausal women with osteoporosis. *J Bone Miner Res* **18**: 18-23
30. Gøtzsche PC *et al.* (2007) Data extraction errors in meta-analyses that use standardized mean differences. *JAMA* **298**: 430-437
31. Liberman UA *et al.* (2006) Hip and non-spine fracture risk reductions differ among antiresorptive agents: Evidence from randomised controlled trials. *Int J Clin Pract* **60**: 1394-1400
32. Boonen S *et al.* (2005) Effect of osteoporosis treatments on risk of non-vertebral fractures: review and meta-analysis of intention-to-treat studies. *Osteoporos Int* **16**: 1291-1298
33. Rosen CJ *et al.* (2005) Treatment with once-weekly alendronate 70 mg compared with once-weekly risedronate 35 mg in women with postmenopausal osteoporosis: a randomized double-blind study. *J Bone Miner Res* **20**: 141-151
34. Ferrari S and Rizzoli R (2007) Risedronate or Alendronate for the prevention of osteoporotic fractures: is there a real difference? *BoneKEY-Osteovision* **4**: 141-143
35. Silverman SL *et al.* (2007) Effectiveness of bisphosphonates on nonvertebral and hip fractures in the first year of therapy: the risedronate and alendronate (REAL) cohort study. *Osteoporos Int* **18**: 25-34.
36. Russell RG: Bisphosphonates: from bench to bedside (2006) *Ann NY Acad Sci* **1068**: 367-401
37. Homik J *et al.* (2000) Calcium and vitamin D for corticosteroid-induced osteoporosis. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* **2**:CD000952
38. Martino S *et al.* (2004) Continuing outcomes relevant to Evista: breast cancer incidence in postmenopausal osteoporotic women in a randomized trial of raloxifene. *J Natl Cancer Inst* **96**: 1751-1761
39. Writing Group for the Women's Health Initiative Investigators (2002) Risks and benefits of estrogen plus progestin in healthy postmenopausal women,

principle results from the Women's Health Initiative randomized controlled trial. *J Am Med Assoc* **288**: 321-333

40. www.jbmr.org/doi/full/10.1359/jbmr.060712
41. Odvina CV *et al.* (2005) Severely suppressed bone turnover: a potential complication of alendronate therapy. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* **90**: 1294-1301
42. Chapurlat RD *et al.* (2007). Microcrack frequency and bone remodeling in postmenopausal osteoporotic women on long-term bisphosphonates: a bone biopsy study. *J Bone Miner Res* **22**: 1502-1509
43. Berenson JR (2005) Recommendations for zoledronic acid treatment of patients with bone metastases. *Oncologist* **10**: 52-62
44. Cummings SR *et al.* (2007) Alendronate and atrial fibrillation. *N Engl J Med* **356**: 1895-1896
45. Karam R *et al.* (2007) Letter. *N Eng J Med* **357**: 712-713
46. www.emea.europa.eu/humandocs/PDFs/EPAR/protelos/PressRelease_Protelos_41745807en.pdf Last accessed on December 29, 2007
47. Vahle JL *et al.* (2004) Bone neoplasms in F344 rats given teriparatide [rhPTH(1-34)] are dependent on duration of treatment and dose. *Toxicol Pathol* **32**: 426-438
48. Greenspan SL *et al.* (2003) Combination therapy with hormone replacement and alendronate for prevention of bone loss in elderly women: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA* **289**: 2525-2533
49. Black DM *et al.* (2003) The effects of parathyroid hormone and alendronate alone or in combination in postmenopausal osteoporosis. *N Engl J Med* **349**: 1207-1215
50. Finkelstein JS *et al.* (2003) The effects of parathyroid hormone, alendronate, or both in men with osteoporosis. *N Engl J Med* **349**: 1216-1226
51. Cosman F *et al.* (2005) Daily and cyclic parathyroid hormone in women receiving alendronate. *N Engl J Med* **353**: 566-575
52. Black DM *et al.* (2005) One year of alendronate after one year of parathyroid hormone (1-84) for osteoporosis. *N Engl J Med* **353**: 555-565
53. Rubin MR and Bilezikian JP (2005) Parathyroid hormone as an anabolic skeletal therapy. *Drugs* **65**: 2481-2498
54. Siris ES *et al.* (2006) Adherence to bisphosphonate therapy and fracture rates in osteoporotic women: relationship to vertebral and nonvertebral fractures from 2 US claims databases. *Mayo Clin Proc* **81**: 1013-1022
55. Bliuc D *et al.* (2005) Barriers to effective management of osteoporosis in moderate and minimal trauma fractures: a prospective study. *Osteoporos Int* **16**: 977-982
56. Epstein S *et al.* (2005) Desintegration and esophageal irritation profiles of alendronate formulations: implications for clinical safety and efficacy. *J Applied Res* **2**: 1-13
57. Damiano J *et al.* (2006) Diagnosis of vertebral fractures by vertebral fracture assessment. *J Clin Densitom.* 2006 **9**: 66-71
58. Bouxsein ML (2005) Determinants of skeletal fragility. *Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol* **19**: 897-911
59. Ralston SH and de Crombrughe B (2006) Genetic regulation of bone mass and susceptibility to osteoporosis. *Genes Dev* **20**: 2492-2506
60. van Helden S *et al.* (2008) Looking beyond the fracture: women and men with a recent clinical fracture have bone and fall related fracture risks far beyond the presence of low bone mineral density. *J Bone Joint Surg*, in press

61. Canalis E *et al.* (2007) Mechanisms of anabolic therapies for osteoporosis. *N Engl J Med* **357**: 905-916
62. Margolis RN and Wimalawansa SJ (2006) Novel targets and therapeutics for bone loss. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* **1068**: 402-409

P Geusens is Professor of Rheumatology at the University Hospital in Maastricht, The Netherlands, and allied with the Biomedical Research Institute at the University of Hasselt, Belgium. C Roux is Professor of Rheumatology, and Head of the Bone Unit, in the Department of Rheumatology, Paris University, France. DM Reid is Professor of Rheumatology and Head of the Department of Medicine and Therapeutics at the University of Aberdeen, UK. WF Lems is Associate Professor of Rheumatology at the VU University Medical Centre in Amsterdam, The Netherlands. S Adami is Professor of Rheumatology at the University of Verona, Italy. JD Adachi is the Alliance for Better Bone Health Chair in Rheumatology and Professor of Rheumatology at McMaster University in Hamilton, Canada. P Sambrook is the Florance and Cope Professor of Rheumatology at the University of Sydney, Australia. KG Saag is Professor of Medicine and Epidemiology and Director of the Center for Education and Research on Therapeutics of Musculoskeletal Disorders at the University of Alabama at Birmingham, USA. NE Lane is a Professor of Medicine and Rheumatology, Director of the Center for Aging and vice-chair for research, in the Department of Medicine at the University of California at Davis Medical Center in Sacramento, CA, USA. MC Hochberg is Professor of Medicine and Head, Division of Rheumatology and Clinical Immunology, University of Maryland School of Medicine, USA.

Competing interests

P Geusens, C Roux, WF Lems, JD Adachi, K Saag, D Reid and MC Hochberg have declared associations with the following companies/organizations: Actelion, the Alliance for Better Bone Health (Procter and Gamble Pharmaceuticals and Sanofi-Aventis), Amgen, Eli Lilly, GlaxoSmithKline, Merck, Novartis Pharmaceuticals, Nycomed, Pfizer, Procter and Gamble Pharmaceuticals, Roche, Sanofi-Aventis, Servier. See the article online for full details of the relationship(s). The other authors declared no competing interests.

CORRESPONDENCE

*Department of Internal Medicine, Division of Rheumatology, University Hospital, P Debyelaan 25, Box 5800, 6202 AZ Maastricht, The Netherlands; Biomedical Research Institute, University Hasselt, Agoralaan, Building A, Diepenbeek, Belgium
piet.geusens@scarlet.be

ONLINE

P Geusens has participated in speakers bureaus (honoraria) and has received grant/research support (including clinical trials) from Eli Lilly, Merck, Procter and Gamble, Roche and Servier.

C Roux has participated in speakers bureaus (honoraria) for Actelion, the Alliance for Better Bone Health (Procter and Gamble Pharmaceuticals and Sanofi-Aventis), GlaxoSmithKline, Eli Lilly, Merck, Novartis Pharmaceuticals, Nycomed, Roche and Servier.

DM Reid has participated in speakers bureaus (honoraria) for, and has received grant/research support (including clinical trials) from, Novartis and Roche, and has acted as a consultant for Roche, Procter and Gamble, and Amgen.

WF Lems has participated in speakers bureaus (honoraria) for the Alliance for Better Bone Health (Procter and Gamble Pharmaceuticals and Sanofi-Aventis), Eli Lilly, Merck, Roche and Servier.

S Adami declared no competing interests.

JD Adachi has participated in speakers bureaus (honoraria) for Amgen, Eli Lilly, GlaxoSmithKline, Merck, Novartis Pharmaceuticals, Pfizer, Procter and Gamble Pharmaceuticals, Roche, Sanofi-Aventis and Servier.

P Sambrook declared no competing interests.

KG Saag has participated in speakers bureaus (honoraria) for Merck and Amgen, has received grant/research support (including clinical trials) from Amgen, Eli Lilly, Novartis, Roche, Procter and Gamble Pharmaceuticals and Sanofi-Aventis, and has acted as a consultant for Amgen, Eli Lilly, Merck, Novartis, Roche, Procter and Gamble Pharmaceuticals and Sanofi-Aventis.

NE Lane declared no competing interests.

MC Hochberg has acted as a consultant for Amgen, Merck, Novartis Pharmaceuticals, Procter and Gamble Pharmaceuticals and Roche.

Table 1 Mechanisms of action of drugs that prevent fracture risk.

	Change to relevant markers		Architecture	Mineralization
	Bone resorption	Bone formation		
Antiresorptives	↓	↓	U	↑
Strontium ranelate	↓ ^a	↑ ^a	↑ ^a	U
rhPTH (teriparatide or rhPTH[(1-84)])	↑	↑↑	↑	↓

Abbreviations: rhPTH, recombinant human parathyroid hormone; U, unchanged.

^aDemonstrated in animal studies.

Table 2 Fracture reduction by drugs in postmenopausal women with osteoporosis^a

Drug	Fractures prevented				
	Vertebral	Nonvertebral		Hip	
	Primary analysis	Primary analysis	Post hoc subgroup analysis	Primary analysis	Post hoc subgroup analysis
Alendronate	+	+	NA	+	NA
Risedronate	+	+	NA	+	NA
Ibandronate	+	ND	+ ^b	ND	ND
Zoledronate	+	+	NA	+	NA
Raloxifene	+	ND	+ ^c	ND	ND
Calcitonin	+	ND	ND	NA	NA
Strontium ranelate	+	+	NA	ND	+ ^d
Teriparatide (rhPTH [1-34])	+	+	ND	ND	ND
rhPTH (1-84)	+	ND	ND	ND	ND

^aThe data are derived from women with a low T-score and/or prevalent vertebral fracture from primary analyses, which give the highest level of evidence in RCTs, and from post hoc analyses in high-risk subgroups.

^bIf T-score < -3.0; or if T-score < -2.5 and a fracture has occurred during previous 5 years; possibly dose-related.

^cIn the subgroup with severe prevalent vertebral fractures (>40% of height loss)

^dIf T-score < -2.4 and age >74 years

Abbreviations: NA, not applicable; ND, no data; RCTs, randomized controlled trials; rhPTH, recombinant human parathyroid hormone.

Figure 1 Pyramidal approach to prevention of fractures in patients at high risk of fracture.

All women with postmenopausal osteoporosis and glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis need lifestyle advice (bottom), which relate to diet, exercise, fall prevention, cessation of smoking and moderation of alcohol intake. The next step is the differential diagnosis of contributors to secondary osteoporosis (such as diseases and drugs [mid level]), followed by treatment with antiresorptive or anabolic drugs in those at high risk of fractures (top).

Adapted after the Surgeon General ²²

Figure 2 Reduction of nonvertebral fractures versus placebo as reported in analyses at the end of the primary antifracture studies. The reduction of risk of fracture is expressed as a relative risk (RR) with 95% confidence intervals.¹ The risk reduction varied between studies, but the size of effect cannot be compared in the absence of head-to-head trials between drugs.⁶ Abbreviations: BMD, bone mineral density; FIT, Fracture Intervention Trial with alendronate in patients with an existing vertebral fracture (FIT1) and in patients with low BMD but without vertebral fracture (FIT2); HORIZON, Health Outcomes and Reduced Incidence with Zoledronic Acid Once Yearly Pivotal Fracture Trial; HORIZON RFT, HORIZON recurrent fracture trial; MORE, Multiple Outcomes of Raloxifene Evaluation study; SOTI, Spinal Osteoporosis Therapeutic Intervention; TOP, Treatment of Osteoporosis with Parathyroid Hormone Study (with recombinant human parathyroid hormone 1–84); TROPOS, Treatment of Peripheral Osteoporosis studies with Strontium ranelate; teriparatide, study using recombinant human parathyroid hormone 1–34; VERT MN and VERT NA: Vertebral Efficacy with Risedronate Therapy studies (Multinational and North America). The following studies were not included: BONE (oral ibandronate Osteoporosis vertebral fracture trial in North America and Europe), as no RR were indicated for nonvertebral fractures (no effect in total group); and PROOF (the Prevent Recurrence of Osteoporotic Fractures study), as the RR for nonvertebral fractures was only significant in the low dose (100 IU/day: RR = 0.64 (0.41–0.99) but not at 200 and 400 IU/day.

KEY POINTS

- There is strong evidence that supports the initiation of effective osteoporosis medications in women with postmenopausal osteoporosis or glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis who have a high risk of fracture
- The selection of specific drug treatment can be based on characteristics of the patient and of the drug, and should include adequate calcium and vitamin D intake
- More work is needed to fit drug treatment with the underlying causes of fracture risk in an individual patient
- Sequential treatment with anabolics and antiresorptives, combined with fall prevention strategies, provides a window of opportunity for the further prevention of fractures

REVIEW CRITERIA

All full-text papers in the English language on randomized controlled trials in postmenopausal osteoporosis with fracture prevention as a primary endpoint and such trials in glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis with antifracture data were included in this Review.

ONLINE BIOGRAPHIES

Dr Piet Geusens is Professor of Rheumatology at the University Hospital in Maastricht, The Netherlands, and allied with the Biomedical Research Institute at the University of Hasselt, Belgium. His research focuses on clinical approaches in patients with a recent fracture and on translational research in osteoimmunology.

Dr Christian Roux is Professor of Rheumatology, and Head of the Bone Unit, in the Department of Rheumatology, Paris University, France. His research mainly focuses on secondary osteoporosis and noninvasive assessment of bone. He is President of the French Society for Osteoporosis, and a member of the editorial board of Osteoporosis International.

Dr David M Reid is Professor of Rheumatology and Head of the Department of Medicine and Therapeutics at the University of Aberdeen, UK. His translational research includes biomarkers of disease progression in osteoporosis, osteoarthritis and rheumatoid arthritis.

Dr Willem F Lems is Associate Professor of Rheumatology at the VU University Medical Centre in Amsterdam, The Netherlands. His research focuses on metabolic bone diseases in rheumatology.

Dr Silvano Adami is Professor of Rheumatology at the University of Verona, Italy. His research focuses on risk factors for osteoporotic fractures and treatment of bone involvement in rheumatic diseases.

Dr Jonathan D Adachi is the Alliance for Better Bone Health Chair in Rheumatology and Professor of Rheumatology at McMaster University in Hamilton, Canada. He is the Director of the Hamilton Arthritis Centre. He focuses his research on the care of patients with osteoporosis and on noninvasive imaging of bone and cartilage.

Dr Philip Sambrook is the Florance and Cope Professor of Rheumatology at the University of Sydney, Australia. His publications include invited editorials in the New England Journal of Medicine and the Lancet. His research has focused on the etiopathogenesis of secondary osteoporosis and the epidemiology of osteoporosis in general.

Dr Kenneth G Saag is Professor of Medicine and Epidemiology and Director of the Center for Education and Research on Therapeutics of Musculoskeletal Disorders at the University of Alabama at Birmingham, USA. He serves on the Editorial Boards of several international journals. His research focuses on the pharmacoepidemiology of osteoporosis and arthritis.

Dr Nancy E Lane is a Professor of Medicine and Rheumatology, Director of the Center for Aging and vice-chair for research, in the Department of Medicine at the University of California at Davis Medical Center in Sacramento, California, USA. She focuses her research on bone quality and on the epidemiology and genetics of osteoarthritis of the hip.

Dr Marc C Hochberg, MPH, is Professor of Medicine and Head, Division of Rheumatology and Clinical Immunology, University of Maryland School of Medicine, USA. He is Principal Investigator of the Baltimore Clinical Center, Study of Osteoporotic Fractures and Co-Principal Investigator, Baltimore Hip Studies.