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**Abstract:**

It is challenging to enhance quality of e-learning. We based the quality assessment on the EFQM and the Kirkpatrick models. We built our own SEVAQ quality model on which we based our multi-functional self-evaluation questionnaire. We focused and limited ourselves on the valuable learners feedback. Our questionnaire is structured around the 3 main criteria: the enabling learning resources, learning processes and the learning results. Questions are linked with their criteria and subcriteria.

The SEVAQ tool addresses the designer of the questionnaire as well as the learner, being the evaluator and so being the user of the questionnaire. The designer selects on a flexible way a set of relevant questions to be answered by the learner. After finishing the questionnaire by the learner, the system analysis the results

**1. Introduction**

It is challenging to support and enhance quality management in e-learning. The need for quality management in e-learning has risen since the use of e-learning has expanded. We have to find answers on the following questions: how is quality defined, how can quality be assessed?

The same principles apply to quality of e-learning as in the quality of teaching and learning in general. However, there are some special characteristics in e-learning that need to be specified. Quality management has to cover the teaching and learning, including the organization of the learning process, the learning content and the pedagogical and technical support for e-learning including equipment and facilities. Quality criteria have to be defined for those areas.

**2. The EFQM Excellence Model**

The EFQM Excellence Model is a practical tool to help organizations do this by measuring where they are on the path to excellence, helping them understand the gaps and then stimulating solutions. The EFQM Excellence Model is a non-prescriptive framework based on 9 criteria. Five of these are 'Enablers' and four are 'Results'. The 'Enabler' criteria cover what an organization does. The 'Results' criteria cover what an organization achieves. 'Results' are caused by 'Enablers' and 'Enablers' are improved using feedback from 'Results'. Each of the nine criteria is supported by a number of sub-criteria. Those pose a number of questions that
should be considered in the course of an assessment. For example in the criteria leadership, focus is on the way management can motivate and stimulate the organization to evolve to continuous improvement. Some questions: How is management engaged in creating a culture of continuous improvement? How is management supporting the improvement activities? How is management evaluating and motivating the staff?

The EFQM Model is presented in diagram form below. The arrows emphasize the dynamic nature of the Model. They show innovation and learning helping to improve enablers that in turn lead to improved results.

Figure 1: The EFQM excellence model

3. Kirkpatrick e-learning evaluation model

D. Kirkpatrick presented a four-level model of quality assessment, that can be applied to traditional way of learning and also to e-learning.

1. Students’ reaction: students are asked to evaluate the training after completing the program. First is asked how well they like the training. But other questions are about the relevance of and the fitting to the objectives, the quality of the included interactive exercises, the ease of navigation, …

2. Learning results: has the learner increased his knowledge of the topic? What about the achievement?

3. Impact of learning on the functioning in the workplace: Are any of the new knowledge and skills retained and transferred back on the job? Is the student’s behaviour changed as a result of new learning?

4. Impact of learning on the business results: the evaluation of the business impact of the training must be measured.

4. The SEVAQ assessment model

SEVAQ stands for Self Evaluation of Quality in e-Learning. The main goal of the SEVAQ project is improving the quality of the vocational and educational courses that are offered through open-and distance learning, e-learning and blended learning.
A new multi-functional self-evaluation questionnaire has been developed in order to obtain valuable learners feedback. It is based on the EFQM-model (figure 2). The validation by the Target Reference Groups (TRGs) is ongoing now. These TRGs have been involved from the beginning of the project in order to reach the different actors from different sectors such as small and medium sized businesses, representatives of risk groups, training providers, schools, policy makers, …

4.1 Premises of the SEVAQ evaluation model

The SEVAQ assessment tool is based on the EFQM management (TQM) concept. All actors and stakeholders have to participate in the evaluation.
But in learning services in most cases evaluation will be limited to the learners evaluation task and so the TQM model will be limited to a subset of criteria, namely those that can be measured by the learner. In that case, the evaluation itself is limited to a subset of the EFQM criteria domains. Some examples are the learning content, the learning process and the resources on point of infrastructure and organisation of the learning process activities.

4.2 Simplified e-learning EFQM model

We focus on the learning/training organization or more specific on the learning department of a company or an institute, that is organizing the learning activities and also the e-learning activities for the staff of all the other company departments.
To become excellent, the learning department has to balance and satisfy the needs of all relevant stakeholders. On the enabler side the stakeholders are the company management and the management of the learning department. On the results side the stakeholders are the company departments to which the learners belong, the individual learners, and the society and economic environment. The suppliers and the customers of the company are also involved in an indirect way because training will have an impact on the product quality.
We developed a simplified e-learning EFQM model, From the enabler side of the EFQM model, we dropped the management level. It is impossible that the learner, being an internal staffmember being trained or an external student can evaluate the management of the company.

![Figure 2: The stakeholder model for the e-learning activity](image)

We limited the model to the processes and resources. On the results site we limited the model to the learner. All other stakeholders could be asked to evaluate the results too. But in the frame of this project we limited our evaluation tool to learners evaluation.
The limited EFQM model applied for the learning activity can be found in figure 3.
The two rows are presenting the enablers and the results. In the first column we see the process of development and acquisition of the resources to be used in the learning process. In the second column we see the resources themselves being the infrastructure needed for the organisation of the learning process and the learning materials. The third column is devoted to the learning process.

Our tool must be useful for the evaluation of the individual e-learning activity and for the classroom activities, both being part of the blended learning process we have in mind. Finally special attention goes to the support and to the control processes of the learner in the learning process.

Figure 3: Quality criteria model, based on the EFQM excellence- and the Kirkpatrick’s evaluation model.

We see that the Kirbypatrick model has been integrated in our model too in the following way:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Domain</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>“learner reaction”</td>
<td>covers the evaluation by the learner of the characteristics of all enablers, resources as well as processes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“learning results”</td>
<td>covers the effects on personal learning of the learner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“learning impact”</td>
<td>covers the effects of learning on the functioning of the learner in the job, and the results for the company</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In this EFQM-Kirkpatrick model we have to remove also two criteria domains, where the learner can not be the evaluator.

The process of development and acquisition from one side and the learning impact from the other side can not be evaluated by the learner.
5. The SEVAQ questionnaire

Following our concept, we developed our questionnaire in a 3 level structure: 3 main criteria, each containing more criteria which consist of more subcriteria. Questions are linked with those subcriteria.

- The Main criteria:
  - The enabling learning resources
  - The enabling Learning Processes
  - The learning Results.

- Criteria
- Sub-criteria and questions

An example can be seen in Figure 4 and Figure 5

**LIST OF CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION OF:**

**The enabling Learning Processes**

1. Services organisation
   1.1. guidance of the candidate learner through the selection process
   1.2. Registration process and the contract negotiation process
   1.3. welcoming of the learner
   1.4. Services organisation and administration

2. The e-learning activities of the learning process
   ... 

Figure 4: List of criteria

**2. The e-learning activities of the learning process**

2.1 reading of and progressing in the e-course

2.1.1. it is possible to read through the course in a sequential way, as built in by the teacher
2.1.2. it is possible to read through the course in a flexible self chosen way
2.1.3. it is possible to access on the fly additional and deeper learning content
2.1.4. embedded usage of supporting facilities as calender, glossary, …is possible
2.1.5. embedded usage of communication facilities as chat, e-mail, discussion, …is possible
2.1.6. the uploading of the pages is very performant
2.1.7. the learner has the opportunity of having a fast reading of the overview of the course
2.1.8. the flexibility of going back to previous pages is provided
2.1.9. the flexibility of skipping pages is provided
2.1.10. taking selftests or exercises in the course upon initiative of the learner is possible

Figure 5: List of questions linked with sub-criteria 2.1

6. The SEVAQ tool

SEVAQ is a self-evaluation tool of Quality in e-learning. The tool addresses the designer of the questionnaire as well as the learner, being the evaluator and so being the user of the questionnaire.
The system also differentiates between an individual learner, known by the system and a group of learners not identified individually.

Figure 6: the roles of different users

The designer selects on a flexible way a set of relevant questions to be answered by the learner. The selection can be done on criterion, on sub-criterion or on question level. (figure 7)

Dependent of the level of the learner the designer decides on a short or a long answering method. In the long answering method, the learner is asked about the necessity of improving the resources or the processes.

After finishing the questionnaire by the learner, the system analysis the results of the individual learners and on group level. (figure 8)

7. Conclusion

We built our own SEVAQ quality model based on EFQM and Kirkpatrick. We developed our multi-functional self-evaluation questionnaire. We focused and limited ourselves on the valuable learners feedback. Our questionnaire is structured around the 3 main criteria: the enabling learning resources, learning processes and the learning results. Questions are linked with their criteria and subcriteria.

The SEVAQ tool addresses the designer of the questionnaire as well as the learner, being the evaluator and so being the user of the questionnaire. The designer selects on a flexible way a set of relevant questions to be answered by the learner. After finishing the questionnaire by the learner, the system analysis the results.

The organisation of learning services must be seen as a business activity and also evaluated in that way. We can advice that the evaluation has to be extended as regards content as well as evaluators. The full EFQM model can be applied and our SEVAQ quality model can be adjusted in that way.
Figure 7: selection of questions on criterion and subcriterion level
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